

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/799,088 | Applicant(s) HARAGUCHI, SHINYA | |
| | Examiner Ahshik Kim | Art Unit 2876 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ahshik Kim.

(2) Mr. Lawrence Russ (Reg. 35,432).

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Application is in condition for allowance, and the Examiner found that claim 14 (currently depending on claim 1~~3~~) should have depended on claim 13. Examiner called and informed Applicant, and the Applicant agreed to make the change via Examiner's amendment. .